



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/474,418	12/29/1999	RONALD G. KENNEDY	GEM-30834	2216
27061 75	590 09/11/2002			
COOK & FRANKE S.C. (GEMS) 660 EAST MASON STREET MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			EXAMINER	
			HUNT, ERIC T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Examiner APURIS APPRICANCE		_	—				
Examiner End Art Unit 2152			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Eric T. Hunt			09/474,418	KENNEDY, RONALD G.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estraticate of them may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.18(e). In or overt, however, may a raply be limity filled sheet sold the provisions of 3 CFR 1.18(e). In or overt, however, may a raply be limity filled sheet sold the provisions of 3 CFR 1.18(e). In or overt, however, may a raply be limity filled sheet six (S) MONTH'S from the mailing date of 3 CFR 1.78(e). In the part of the provision of the provi		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - barriadized of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CPF 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - barriadized of them be available under the provisions of 37 CPF 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - barriadized from specified above. The macrimum statutory period will apply and will explore SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is appelled above. The macrimum statutory period will apply and will explore SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply which the core or experiment of the communication of the period of the communication of the provision of the communication. - Failure to reply which the core or experiment of the communication of the communication. - Failure to reply which the core or experiment of the communication of the communication. - Failure to reply which the core or experiment of the communication. - Failure to reply the provision of the communication of the communication. - Failure to reply which the core or experiment of the communication of the communication. - Failure to reply the provision of the communication of the communication. - Statuts - This action is FINAL. - 2b) 2 This action is reply the communication. - Since this application is not require with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - Application of Claims - Since this application is not provised to the communication. - Claim(s) is/are allowed. - Claim(s)							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be valided under the provides of 37 CR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTISS from the mailing date of this communication. Provided the control of the communication of the commu		• •	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
1 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 1999. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3] Since this application is in "condition for allowance × copt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 14)	THE N - Exten after 9 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute aply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro a, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in ~cndifficin for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meritis is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3	Status						
3 Since this application is in *condition for allowancs * xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merilis is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Note)	· —	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) \(\) Claim(s) \(\	2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
A) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) All Notice of References Clted (PTO-892) 4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Attachment(s) A copies of the certified copies of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	3)[
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) 1 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Dispositi	•	Ex parto Quaylo, 1000 C.B. 11	, 400 0.0. 210.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Note)	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	n.				
6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	•		or election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 31 And Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (to a provisional application).		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	,	,					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	10)[_]						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	44)						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 31 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 31 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	11)[_]	· · ·		proved by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 13 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 24 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 25 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	12\		• •				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			Adminer.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	1	• •	un maioriko omalon 25 H.C.O. S. 440	2(a) (d) ar (f)			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 21 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	•		gn priority under 35 U.S.C. 9 Th	b(a)-(u) or (i).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 21. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	a)		ate have been received				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Action (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Action (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Action (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 3) Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1118) Paper No(s).		_ , , ,					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	*:	application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	14) 🔲	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 3) Adding & Order Consol Retail Consol Region (PTO-415) Paper No(c) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	i	•					
Aletine of Orotheperancia Retail Proving Review (RTO 048): 3) 4 Information Disclosure Statement(e) (PTO 1110) Paper tio(e) 9) 4 Cite: """ """ """ """ """ """ """	Attachme	nt(s)					
	1) 🛛 Noti	and Orothoperansia Patrent Preming Provider (FTO 048):	·				
	1-8)-[-3-4-16						

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,353,445 to Babula in view of what was well known in the art at the time the invention was made.

2. Regarding claim 1, Babula teaches a remote servicing communication system for in-field product comprising:

at least one on-line center [column 6, line 52] having access to service software [column 6, lines 41-45 & 56-58] at a centralized facility [column 4, lines 31-32 service facility] so as to service in-field product remotely [column 6, lines 25-26];

an in-field product [figure 1, medical diagnostic systems 12] at a customer site [figure 1, medical facility 20] [column 6, lines 14-20];

at least one portable service interface [figure 1, field service unit 24] operable with the in-field product at the customer site [column 4, lines 33-36] and having software [column 6, lines 41-48 & column 11, lines 6-11] for communication with the on-line center [column 6, lines 31-33];

a first communications link connecting the portable service interface to the on-line center [figure 1, remote access network 80]; and

a second communications link connecting the portable service interface with the in-field product to complete a connection between the in-field product and the on-line center through the portable service interface [figure 1, remote access network 80].

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/474,418

Art Unit: 2152

Babula does not explicitly teach an in-field product that is not readily capable of direct communication with the on-line center. However, Babula does disclose conventional scanners not suitable for interaction with service centers or that impose on a user [column 2, lines 38-44]. Babula further teaches that any suitable network connection may be employed [column 6, lines 27-28]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate scanners not readily capable of direct communication because it is conventional to implement such network connections as an alternative or backup connection.

- 3. Regarding claim 2, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula further teaches wherein the connection between the in-field product and the on-line center is utilized to conduct a diagnostic evaluation of the infield product [column 7, lines 5-13 & column 4, lines 55-60].
- 4. Regarding claim 3, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula further teaches wherein the in-field product is a medical image scanner and the on-line center contains service software designed for utilization with a wide variety of medical image scanners [column 4, lines 43-50 variety of medical diagnostic system modalities], and

wherein after the portable service interface sends a data message identifying the medical image scanner [column 4, lines 50-53], the on-line center selects service software based on the medical image scanner identification [column 4, lines 51-54 data specifically adapted to the system modality] and automatically downloads the selected service software to the medical image scanner [column 4, lines 51-54 data transmitted] or executes the selected service software from the portable service interface.

Art Unit: 2152

5. Regarding claim 4, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula

further teaches wherein the connection between the in-field product and the on-line center is

Page 4

utilized to access data from the on-line center [column 7, lines 5-13].

6. Regarding claim 5, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula

further teaches wherein the accessed data from the on-line center includes at least one of a

configuration file, a golden file, a protocol and a software program [column 10, lines 10-13].

7. Regarding claim 6, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula

further teaches wherein the portable service interface sends a data message signal to the on-line

center [column 10, lines 66-67 & column 11, lines 25-31] identifying the in-field product

[column 4, lines 50-53] such that the on-line center selects service software specifically

designed for the in-field product [column 4, lines 51-54].

8. Regarding claim 7, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula

further teaches wherein the second communication link connecting the portable service interface

to the in-field product is one of a serial cable and a local area network cable [figure 1, remote

access network 80 & column 7, lines 2-5].

9. Regarding claim 8, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula

further teaches wherein the portable service interface is a laptop computer [column 11, lines 58-

60] having loaded therein remote resource communications software [column 11, lines 36-39] to

automatically communicate with the on-line center and transfer data therebetween [column 11,

lines 43-47].

10. Regarding claim 9, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula

further teaches wherein the connection to the on-line center provides access to a remote on-line

Art Unit: 2152

support engineer to provide real time assistance with the in-field product through the portable service interface [column 6, lines 52-56].

11. Regarding claim 10, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula further teaches a method of providing remote service communication between an on-line center and an in-field product at a customer site where the in-field product is not readily capable of direct communication with the on-line center comprising:

loading on-line center connectivity software on a portable service interface [column 11, lines 6-11]

connecting the portable service interface to the in-field product [figure 1, network 80]; electronically connecting the on-line center with the portable service interface [figure 1, network 80];

accessing data from the in-field product with the portable service interface [column 11, lines 43-47]; and

interfacing between the on-line center and the in-field product with the portable service interface [column 12, lines 1-5].

Regarding claim 11, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula further teaches the steps of transmitting data identifying the in-field product to the on-line center for evaluating and servicing the in-field product [column 11, lines 36-39 & column 12, lines 60-64], and automatically selecting service software at the on-line center [column 12, lines 7-8], and generating in-field product evaluation information and displaying the in-field product evaluation information [column 10, lines 45 & 52-53] on the portable service interface [column 12, lines 1-5 & column 10, lines 66-67 & column 11, lines 1-6].

Art Unit: 2152

13. Regarding claim 12, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula

further teaches wherein the interfacing step includes accessing data from the on-line center

including at least one of a configuration file, a golden file, a protocol and a software program

[column 10, lines 10-13 & column 13, lines 33-35].

14. Regarding claim 13, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula

further teaches wherein the in-field product is a medical image scanner [column 4, lines 43-46

MRI & lines 66-67] and further comprises automatically selecting at the on-line center service

software based on a specific identification of the medical image scanner [column 4, lines 51-54]

data specifically adapted to the system modality].

15. Regarding claim 14, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula

further teaches further comprising the step of automatically checking whether a field service

engineer requests an analysis/evaluation [column 11, lines 36-38], and if so, transmitting system

data to the in-field product [column 16, lines 58-62] and performing an analysis /evaluation of

the in-field product [column 17, lines 15-17].

16. Regarding claim 15, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula

further teaches further comprising displaying results of the analysis/evaluation so that the field

service engineer can monitor the analysis/evaluation [column 19, lines 8-23 and figure 9].

17. Regarding claim 16, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula

further teaches wherein the connecting step further includes connecting the portable service

interface to the in-field product by one of a serial cable and a local area network cable [figure 1,

remote access network 80 & column 7, lines 2-5].

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/474,418 Page 7

Art Unit: 2152

18. Claims 17 and 18 contain similar limitations corresponding to the method claimed in claims 15 and 16; therefore claims 17 and 18 are rejected under the same rationale.

- 19. Regarding claim 19, Babula teaches the invention as claimed as noted above. Babula further teaches wherein the electronically accessing step occurs through a global computer network system [column 6, lines 25-31 Internet].
- 20. Claim 20 is the method claim corresponding to the system claim 9; therefore claim 20 is rejected under the same rationale.
- 21. Claim 21 contains similar limitations corresponding to the method claimed in claim 10 and 11; therefore claim 21 is rejected under the same rationale.
- 22. Claim 22 is the method claim corresponding to the system claimed in claim 3; therefore claim 2 is rejected under the same rationale.
- 23. Claim 23 contains similar limitations corresponding to the method claimed in claim 15; therefore claim 23 is rejected under the same rationale.
- 24. Claim 24 contains similar limitations corresponding to the method claimed in claim 14 and 15; therefore claim 24 is rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric T. Hunt whose telephone number is 703-305-4868. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-4pm.

Art Unit: 2152

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 703-305-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

E.H. August 28, 2002

> LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER